

Artificial Intelligence and Social Justice: Critical Analysis of Current Developments

ainews.social - Generated Analysis

March 09, 2025

1 Analysis of Purpose and Intent

The authors of the sources on AI in social justice aim to democratize technology to foster inclusive growth, emphasizing the need for equitable access and representation in AI development and deployment [8,38,55]. They argue that AI can be a powerful tool for social justice if it is designed and implemented with fairness and inclusivity at its core. This involves addressing algorithmic biases and ensuring diverse stakeholder participation in AI governance [34,38,44]. The authors justify these purposes by highlighting the potential of AI to break down systemic barriers and promote equity across various sectors, such as education and healthcare [8,33,36].

However, the realization of these goals faces significant challenges, including the need for robust ethical frameworks and data governance structures [1,6,56]. Authors argue that without proper oversight, AI systems may perpetuate existing inequalities or create new forms of discrimination [34,47,56]. They emphasize the importance of developing transparent and accountable AI systems that prioritize human rights and ethical considerations [41,45,66]. These frameworks are essential to balance innovation with privacy and security concerns, ensuring that AI serves the broader public interest [41,62,66].

The purposes outlined by the authors are ambitious but achievable, provided there is a concerted effort to address these challenges. They reflect the needs of various stakeholders, including marginalized communities who stand to benefit from more equitable AI systems [8,21,44]. However, achieving these purposes requires collaboration across sectors and disciplines, as well as significant investment in education and upskilling to prepare the workforce for an AI-driven future [9,11,48]. The authors suggest that fostering AI literacy and creating inclusive educational pathways are crucial steps toward realizing the transformative potential of AI in social justice [26,30,63].

In conclusion, while the authors present a compelling vision for AI's role in advancing social justice, they acknowledge the complexities involved in achieving this vision. The need for ethical AI development, inclusive governance, and comprehensive education initiatives are critical components of their proposed solutions [8,38,66]. These efforts must be sustained and adapted to evolving technological and societal landscapes to ensure that AI contributes positively to social justice goals [8,9,55].

2 Critical Questions and Inquiries

The critical questions surrounding AI in social justice primarily focus on addressing systemic biases and ensuring equitable access to AI technologies. Researchers are particularly concerned with how AI can be leveraged to dismantle existing inequalities rather than perpetuate them [8,34,38]. This inquiry builds on existing research that highlights the pervasive nature of algorithmic biases and the need for diverse representation in AI development [34,44,55]. By questioning the inclusivity of AI systems, scholars aim to uncover the underlying biases that may exist in data sets and algorithmic processes, which can lead to discriminatory outcomes if left unchecked [34,38,44].

Methodologically, these inquiries often involve interdisciplinary approaches that combine insights from computer science, ethics, and social sciences to develop comprehensive frameworks for ethical AI deployment [1,6,56]. For instance, the integration of ethical considerations into AI design and governance is a recurring

theme, emphasizing the importance of transparency and accountability [41,45,66]. Researchers propose methodologies that include stakeholder engagement and participatory design processes to ensure that AI systems reflect the diverse needs of the communities they serve [8,38,44]. These approaches are crucial in addressing the current challenges posed by AI, such as privacy concerns and the potential for misuse in surveillance [41,62,66].

The questions posed by researchers also reflect broader societal challenges, such as the digital divide and the need for upskilling in an AI-driven economy [9,11,48]. There is a growing recognition that without targeted educational initiatives, marginalized communities may be left behind in the technological revolution [26,30,63]. This assumption underlies many inquiries into AI's role in social justice, as scholars seek to identify strategies for fostering AI literacy and creating inclusive educational pathways [26,30,63]. By addressing these educational disparities, researchers hope to empower individuals with the skills necessary to participate fully in the digital economy [9,11,48].

In conclusion, the critical questions and inquiries into AI in social justice are deeply intertwined with the challenges of ensuring ethical and equitable AI development. These questions not only build on existing research but also push the boundaries of current methodologies to address the complex interplay between technology and society [8,38,66]. As AI continues to evolve, these inquiries will remain vital in guiding the development of systems that prioritize human rights and social equity [8,9,55].

3 Core Assumptions and Premises

The core assumptions about AI's role in social justice are deeply rooted in the belief that technology can be a democratizing force, capable of fostering inclusive growth and equity across various sectors [8,38,55]. This foundational belief shapes the analysis approaches by emphasizing the need for equitable access to AI technologies and diverse representation in AI development and governance [34,38,44]. The assumption is that by addressing algorithmic biases and ensuring inclusive stakeholder participation, AI can dismantle systemic barriers and promote social equity [8,33,36]. This perspective is supported by evidence highlighting AI's potential to transform sectors like education and healthcare, where equitable AI systems can lead to improved outcomes for marginalized communities [8,33,36].

However, these assumptions are not without challenges. Critics argue that without robust ethical frameworks and data governance structures, AI systems may inadvertently perpetuate existing inequalities or create new forms of discrimination [1,6,56]. This skepticism is grounded in evidence of algorithmic biases that have led to discriminatory outcomes in various applications, underscoring the need for transparency and accountability in AI systems [34,47,56]. The assumption that AI can inherently promote social justice is thus contested, with some scholars emphasizing the importance of ethical AI development and inclusive governance to mitigate potential harms [41,45,66].

The assumptions about AI's democratizing potential also vary across perspectives. While some view AI as a tool for empowerment and equity, others caution against its potential misuse, particularly in surveillance and privacy violations [41,62,66]. This divergence in assumptions reflects broader societal concerns about the balance between innovation and regulation, highlighting the need for comprehensive ethical considerations in AI deployment [41,62,66]. The potential biases that emerge from these assumptions include an over-reliance on technological solutions without adequately addressing the socio-political contexts in which AI operates [1,6,56].

In conclusion, the core assumptions about AI's role in social justice are both enabling and limiting. They provide a framework for envisioning AI as a force for good, while also necessitating critical scrutiny to ensure that AI systems are designed and implemented ethically and inclusively [8,38,66]. These assumptions must be continuously evaluated and adapted to the evolving technological and societal landscapes to ensure that AI contributes positively to social justice goals [8,9,55].

4 Key Concepts and Theoretical Framework

The theoretical frameworks surrounding AI in social justice are anchored in several key concepts, including algorithmic fairness, ethical AI governance, and inclusive technology design. Algorithmic fairness is a central concept that seeks to address and mitigate biases inherent in AI systems, ensuring that these technologies

do not perpetuate existing social inequalities [34,38,44]. This concept has evolved over time as researchers have developed more sophisticated methods for identifying and correcting biases in data sets and algorithms, emphasizing the need for transparency and accountability in AI processes [34,41,66]. The development of algorithmic fairness frameworks reflects a growing recognition of the ethical implications of AI, pushing for systems that prioritize equitable outcomes across diverse populations [34,38,44].

Ethical AI governance is another pivotal concept that has gained prominence in discussions about AI and social justice. This framework emphasizes the importance of establishing robust ethical guidelines and governance structures to oversee AI development and deployment [1,6,56]. Over time, this concept has expanded to include interdisciplinary approaches that integrate insights from computer science, ethics, and social sciences, aiming to create comprehensive frameworks for ethical AI use [1,6,56]. The interconnectedness of ethical AI governance with algorithmic fairness is evident, as both concepts strive to ensure that AI technologies are developed and implemented in ways that respect human rights and promote social equity [41,45,66].

Inclusive technology design is a concept that underscores the necessity of involving diverse stakeholders in the AI development process. This approach seeks to ensure that AI systems are reflective of the communities they serve, thereby reducing the risk of exclusionary practices and outcomes [8,38,44]. The evolution of this concept is marked by an increasing emphasis on participatory design processes and stakeholder engagement, which are seen as critical to creating AI systems that are both equitable and effective [8,38,44]. The integration of inclusive design with algorithmic fairness and ethical governance highlights the interconnected nature of these frameworks, as they collectively aim to foster AI systems that are just and inclusive [8,38,44].

Despite the strengths of these theoretical frameworks, several limitations persist. One major limitation is the challenge of operationalizing these concepts in practice, particularly in contexts where resources and expertise may be limited [1,6,56]. Additionally, there is a risk of over-reliance on technological solutions without adequately addressing the socio-political contexts in which AI operates, which can undermine efforts to achieve genuine social justice [1,6,56]. These limitations underscore the need for continuous evaluation and adaptation of theoretical frameworks to ensure they remain relevant and effective in addressing the evolving challenges of AI in social justice [8,38,66].

In practice, these frameworks are reflected in various initiatives aimed at promoting ethical and inclusive AI development. For instance, educational programs that focus on AI literacy and upskilling are designed to empower marginalized communities to participate in the digital economy, thereby addressing educational disparities and fostering social equity [9,11,48]. These initiatives demonstrate the practical application of theoretical concepts, as they seek to bridge the gap between theory and practice by equipping individuals with the skills necessary to navigate an AI-driven world [9,11,48]. As AI continues to evolve, the interplay between theoretical frameworks and practical applications will remain crucial in guiding the development of systems that prioritize social justice and human rights [8,9,55].

5 Implications and Future Directions

The implications of AI in social justice are profound, with concrete changes predicted across various sectors, particularly in education and healthcare. AI's potential to democratize access to quality education is supported by initiatives like AI-driven personalized learning pathways, which customize education for each student, thereby addressing educational disparities [26,58,59]. These pathways are designed to cater to diverse learning needs, ensuring that marginalized communities have equitable access to educational resources [26,58,59]. Similarly, in healthcare, AI is anticipated to enhance patient care by making clinical decision-making more human-centered, thus improving outcomes for underserved populations [33,40,47]. These changes are driven by mechanisms such as algorithmic fairness and ethical AI governance, which aim to mitigate biases and ensure that AI systems operate transparently and accountably [34,38,44].

However, the implementation of AI in these sectors faces significant challenges, particularly concerning data governance and ethical considerations. In healthcare, for instance, the lack of robust data governance structures can lead to privacy violations and biased outcomes, which may exacerbate existing inequalities [1,6,56]. Similarly, in education, the integration of AI technologies must be accompanied by comprehensive ethical frameworks to prevent misuse and ensure that AI serves as a tool for empowerment rather than surveillance [14,17,62]. These challenges underscore the need for interdisciplinary approaches that incorporate

insights from computer science, ethics, and social sciences to develop comprehensive frameworks for ethical AI use [1,6,56].

Stakeholders in AI development and deployment differ in their perspectives and priorities, which can influence the trajectory of AI's impact on social justice. For instance, policymakers and educators may prioritize the ethical implications of AI in education, focusing on creating inclusive learning environments [13,22,24]. In contrast, technology developers might emphasize innovation and efficiency, potentially overlooking socio-political contexts that affect AI's equitable implementation [1,6,56]. This divergence necessitates collaborative efforts among stakeholders to align goals and ensure that AI technologies are developed and deployed in ways that respect human rights and promote social equity [41,45,66].

Looking forward, the future directions for AI in social justice involve advancing ethical AI governance and fostering inclusive technology design. This includes establishing international collaborations to share best practices and develop standardized ethical guidelines for AI deployment [21,69]. Additionally, there is a growing emphasis on upskilling and AI literacy programs to empower individuals, particularly in marginalized communities, to actively participate in the digital economy [9,11,48]. These initiatives aim to bridge the gap between theory and practice, ensuring that AI systems are not only technologically advanced but also socially responsible and inclusive [8,38,66]. As AI continues to evolve, maintaining a balance between innovation and regulation will be crucial to realizing its potential as a force for social justice [41,62,66].

6 Interpretative Analysis and Synthesis

The interpretative analysis of AI in social justice reveals a complex interplay of reasoning patterns that underscore the multifaceted nature of this domain. A prominent theme is the emphasis on algorithmic fairness as a foundational element in mitigating biases within AI systems. This concept is supported by a robust body of research that highlights the necessity of transparent and accountable AI processes to prevent the perpetuation of existing social inequalities [34,38,44]. The evidence suggests that while algorithmic fairness frameworks have evolved to become more sophisticated, they still face challenges in practical implementation, particularly in resource-constrained environments [1,6,56]. This underscores the need for continuous refinement and adaptation of these frameworks to remain effective in diverse contexts [8,38,66].

In examining ethical AI governance, the analysis reveals a convergence of interdisciplinary approaches that integrate insights from computer science, ethics, and social sciences. This convergence aims to establish comprehensive governance structures that oversee AI development and deployment, ensuring that these technologies respect human rights and promote social equity [1,6,56]. The interconnectedness of ethical governance with algorithmic fairness is evident, as both strive to create AI systems that are equitable and just [41,45,66]. However, the analysis also identifies a tension between innovation and regulation, with some stakeholders prioritizing technological advancement over ethical considerations [1,6,56]. This tension highlights the importance of collaborative efforts among stakeholders to align goals and ensure that AI technologies are developed in ways that respect human rights and promote social equity [41,45,66].

The concept of inclusive technology design further enriches the discourse on AI in social justice by emphasizing the involvement of diverse stakeholders in the AI development process. This approach seeks to ensure that AI systems are reflective of the communities they serve, thereby reducing the risk of exclusionary practices and outcomes [8,38,44]. The analysis reveals that participatory design processes and stakeholder engagement are critical to creating AI systems that are both equitable and effective [8,38,44]. However, the practical implementation of inclusive design remains challenging, particularly in contexts where there is a lack of resources and expertise [1,6,56]. This highlights the need for targeted initiatives that empower marginalized communities to actively participate in the digital economy [9,11,48].

Alternative views on AI in social justice often center around the potential for AI to exacerbate existing inequalities if not properly governed. Critics argue that an over-reliance on technological solutions without addressing the socio-political contexts in which AI operates can undermine efforts to achieve genuine social justice [1,6,56]. This perspective is supported by evidence from sectors such as healthcare and education, where the lack of robust data governance structures can lead to privacy violations and biased outcomes [1,6,56]. These uncertainties underscore the need for interdisciplinary approaches that incorporate insights from various fields to develop comprehensive frameworks for ethical AI use [1,6,56].

Methodological issues arise in the operationalization of theoretical frameworks in practice. While the the-

oretical underpinnings of algorithmic fairness, ethical governance, and inclusive design are well-established, their practical application often encounters obstacles related to resource constraints and varying stakeholder priorities [1,6,56]. This necessitates ongoing evaluation and adaptation of these frameworks to ensure they remain relevant and effective in addressing the evolving challenges of AI in social justice [8,38,66]. The analysis suggests that maintaining a balance between innovation and regulation will be crucial to realizing AI's potential as a force for social justice [41,62,66].

7 References

1. AI Data Governance in Healthcare: What's New and What's Changing? (2025).
<https://healthtechmagazine.net/article/2025/02/ai-data-governance-in-healthcare-perfcon>
2. AI Ethics And Governance (2025).
<https://www.elte.hu/en/content/ai-ethics-and-governance.t.3743>
3. AI Literacy (2025).
<https://www.colorado.edu/aerospace/2025/03/07/seminar-ai-literacy-foundations-and-practical-applications-genai-education-mar-14>
4. AI Literacy: Foundations and Practical Applications (2025).
<https://www.colorado.edu/aerospace/2025/03/07/seminar-ai-literacy-foundations-and-practical-applications-genai-education-mar-14>
5. AI Literacy: Foundations and Practical Applications of GenAI in Education (2025).
<https://www.colorado.edu/aerospace/2025/03/07/seminar-ai-literacy-foundations-and-practical-applications-genai-education-mar-14>
6. AI and Robotics in Smart City Governance: Ethical and Legal Pathways for Sustainable Urbanization (2025).
<https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/ai-and-robotics-in-smart-city-governance/371235>
7. AI and Social Justice (2025).
<https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/ai-and-social-justice/371737>
8. AI and Social Justice: Democratizing Technology for Inclusive Growth (2025).
<https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/ai-and-social-justice/371737>
9. AI and the Future of Work in Africa: Preparing the Next Generation of Leaders for an Automated World (2025).
<https://ynaija.com/ai-and-the-future-of-work-in-africa-preparing-the-next-generation-of-leaders-for-an-automated-world/>
10. AI and the Future of Work: How AI and Automation are Redefining Job Roles and Business Models (2025).
<https://www.unite.ai/?p=213088>
11. AI and the Workforce: Why Upskilling Matters More Than Hiring AI Talent (2025).
<https://www.malaysiakini.com/announcement/736245>
12. AI in Education: Shaping The Future Of Classrooms (2025).
<https://www.businesstoday.in/bt-tv/video/ai-in-education-shaping-the-future-of-classrooms-prof-bharat-n-anand-at-indiatodayconclave2025-467259-2025-03-08>

13. AI in Higher Education: A Revolution or a Risk? (2025).
<https://observatory.tec.mx/edu-bits-2/ai-in-higher-education-a-revolution-or-a-risk/>
14. AI in education a must, not a choice: secretary (2025).
<https://www.thenews.com.pk/print/1287875-ai-in-education-a-must-not-a-choice-secretary>
15. AI in education: not a threat, but a change agent (2025).
<https://www.thehindu.com/education/ai-in-education-not-a-threat-but-a-change-agent/article69285140.ece>
16. AI in the Classroom (2025).
<https://education.sakshi.com/en/schools/education-news/telangana-education-department-focuses-artificial-intelligence-schools-173106>
17. AI in the Classroom! Telangana Education Department Focuses on Artificial Intelligence in Schools (2025).
<https://education.sakshi.com/en/schools/education-news/telangana-education-department-focuses-artificial-intelligence-schools-173106>
18. AI isn't a shortcut (2025).
<https://umaine.edu/news/blog/2025/03/07/ai-isnt-a-shortcut-how-mainers-can-unlock-its-potential>
19. AI isn't a shortcut (2025).
<https://umaine.edu/news/blog/2025/03/07/ai-isnt-a-shortcut-how-mainers-can-unlock-its-potential/>
20. AI tool helps make trustworthy, explainable scheduling decisions (2025).
<https://source.washu.edu/2025/03/ai-tool-helps-make-trustworthy-explainable-scheduling-decisions/>
21. AI's ethics: Why Nigeria must have a seat at the table (2025).
<https://tribuneonlineng.com/ais-ethics-why-nigeria-must-have-a-seat-at-the-table/>
22. AI's impact on higher education discussed (2025).
<https://www.gulftoday.ae/news/2025/03/06/ais-impact-on-higher-education-discussed>
23. AI+Education Summit (2025).
<https://hai.stanford.edu/news/aieducation-summit-the-future-is-already-here>
24. AI+Education Summit: The Future is Already Here (2025).
<https://hai.stanford.edu/news/aieducation-summit-the-future-is-already-here>
25. AI-Driven Personalized Learning Pathways (2025).
<https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/ai-driven-personalized-learning-pathways-customizing-education-for-every-student/371578>
26. AI-Driven Personalized Learning Pathways Customizing Education for Every Student (2025).
<https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/ai-driven-personalized-learning-pathways-customizing-education-for-every-student/371578>
27. Adopting AI to Improve Global Ag Systems (2025).
28. Breaking barriers in STEM and policy (2025).
<https://www.eng.mcmaster.ca/sept/news/breaking-barriers-in-stem-and-policy-doris-antwi-debrah-on-education-ai-and-womens-empowerment>

29. Breaking barriers in STEM and policy (2025).
<https://www.eng.mcmaster.ca/sept/news/breaking-barriers-in-stem-and-policy-doris-antwi-debrah-on-education-ai-and-womens-empowerment/>
30. Breaking barriers in STEM and policy: Doris Antwi-Debrah on education, AI and women’s empowerment (2025).
<https://www.eng.mcmaster.ca/sept/news/breaking-barriers-in-stem-and-policy-doris-antwi-debrah-on-education-ai-and-womens-empowerment/>
31. Building Trust in AI (2025).
<https://srinstitute.utoronto.ca/news/building-trust-in-ai-a-multifaceted-approach>
32. CEPAL (2025).
<https://www.cepal.org/es/comunicados/la-inteligencia-artificial-esta-transformando-al-mundo-americas-latino-caribe-puede>
33. Can artificial intelligence make healthcare human again? (2025).
<https://www.healthleadersmedia.com/cmo/can-artificial-intelligence-make-healthcare-human-again>
34. Challenging gender bias in artificial intelligence (2025).
<https://www.imperial.nhs.uk/about-us/blog/challenging-gender-bias-in-ai>
35. Combining AI and artmaking (2025).
<https://penntoday.upenn.edu/news/penn-cry-combining-ai-and-artmaking-youth-well-being>
36. Combining AI and artmaking for youth well-being (2025).
<https://penntoday.upenn.edu/news/penn-cry-combining-ai-and-artmaking-youth-well-being>
37. Equidad algorítmica (2025).
<https://www.bbva.com/es/innovacion/equidad-algoritmica-clave-para-crear-una-inteligencia-artificial-responsable/>
38. Equidad algorítmica, clave para crear una inteligencia artificial responsable (2025).
<https://www.bbva.com/es/innovacion/equidad-algoritmica-clave-para-crear-una-inteligencia-artificial-responsable/>
39. Ethical Challenges in Data Science (2025).
<https://ijcsrr.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/09-0703-2025.pdf>
40. Ethical Considerations Surrounding the Use of AI-Interventions in Application of Mental Healthcare (2025).
<https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/ethical-considerations-surrounding-the-use-of-ai-interventions-in-application-of-mental-healthcare/371645>
41. Ethics In AI: Navigating Security, Trust And Ownership (2025).
<https://www.forbes.com/councils/forbesbusinesscouncil/2025/03/04/ethics-in-ai-navigating-security-trust-and-ownership/>
42. Ethics and Artificial Intelligence (2025).
<https://coronadotimes.com/event/ethics-and-artificial-intelligence/>
43. Growing AI Education Across the CSU (2025).
<https://www.calstate.edu/csu-system/news/Pages/Growing-AI-Education-Across-the-CSU.aspx>

44. Harnessing AI to Break Bias: Accelerating Action for Gender-Equitable Hiring (2025).
<https://yourstory.com/herstory/2025/03/harnessing-ai-to-break-bias-gender-equitable-hiring>
45. Having Tough Conversations About AI Ethics (2025).
<https://www.mcgill.ca/cdsi/article/having-tough-conversations-about-ai-ethics>
46. Health Care Artificial Intelligence Code of Conduct (2025).
<https://nam.edu/our-work/programs/leadership-consortium/health-care-artificial-intelligence-code-of-conduct/>
47. How AI can distort clinical decision-making to prioritize profits over patients (2025).
<https://www.statnews.com/2025/03/03/health-ai-clinical-decisions-biomarkup-profits-over-patient-care/>
48. How AI, skills-based hiring, and green jobs are changing the workforce (2025).
<https://www.autodesk.com/design-make/videos/future-of-work>
49. L'intelligence artificielle fait peser des menaces (2025).
https://www.lemonde.fr/idees/article/2025/03/08/l-intelligence-artificielle-fait-peser-des-menaces-sur-la-pratique-de-la-profession-d-avocat-et-sur-sa-philosophie_6577366_3232.html
50. L'intelligence artificielle fait peser des menaces sur la pratique de la profession d'avocat et sur sa philosophie (2025).
https://www.lemonde.fr/idees/article/2025/03/08/l-intelligence-artificielle-fait-peser-des-menaces-sur-la-pratique-de-la-profession-d-avocat-et-sur-sa-philosophie_6577366_3232.html
51. L'intelligence artificielle fait peser des menaces sur la pratique de la profession d'avocat et sur sa philosophie (2025).
https://www.lemonde.fr/idees/article/2025/03/08/l-intelligence-artificielle-fait-peser-des-menaces-sur-la-pratique-de-la-profession-d-avocat-et-sur-sa-philosophie_6577366_3232.html
52. La inteligencia artificial esta transformando al mundo (2025).
<https://www.cepal.org/es/comunicados/la-inteligencia-artificial-esta-transformando-al-mundo-america-latina-caribe-puede>
53. La inteligencia artificial esta transformando al mundo y America Latina y el Caribe no puede quedarse atras (2025).
<https://www.cepal.org/es/comunicados/la-inteligencia-artificial-esta-transformando-al-mundo-america-latina-caribe-puede>
54. Le Monde (2025).
https://www.lemonde.fr/idees/article/2025/03/08/l-intelligence-artificielle-fait-peser-des-menaces-sur-la-pratique-de-la-profession-d-avocat-et-sur-sa-philosophie_6577366_3232.html
55. Masificacion IA y los retos en equidad (2025).
<https://www.revistaeconomia.com/masificacion-ia-y-los-retos-en-equidad/>
56. Navigating the Ethical Dilemmas of AI (2025).
<https://news.web.baylor.edu/news/story/2025/navigating-ethical-dilemmas-ai>
57. Penn GSE hosts workshop (2025).

58. Penn GSE hosts workshop exploring AI creation and innovation in K-12 education (2025).

59. PhysicsWallah Expands AI-Powered NEET, JEE Courses in Regional Languages Facilitating Accessibility in Indian Education (2025).
<https://digitallearning.eletsonline.com/2025/03/physicswallah-expands-ai-powered-neet-jee-courses-in-regional-languages-facilitating-accessibility-in-indian-education/>

60. Reimagining English Teachers in the Age of AI (2025).
<https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=v6ZMEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA42>

61. Reimagining English Teachers in the Age of AI (2025).

62. Responsible Use of AI Tools and Institutional Data Security (2025).
<https://www.rochester.edu/provost/responsible-use-of-ai-tools-and-institutional-data-security/>

63. Seminar - AI Literacy: Foundations and Practical Applications of GenAI in Education - Mar. 14 (2025).
<https://www.colorado.edu/aerospace/2025/03/07/seminar-ai-literacy-foundations-and-practical-applications-genai-education-mar-14>

64. Tackling Racial Bias in AI Systems (2025).
<http://bjbio.bioethics.org.bd/index.php/BJBio/article/view/129>

65. The Ethical Challenge of AI (2025).
<https://techbullion.com/the-ethical-challenge-of-ai-balancing-privacy-regulation-and-innovation/>

66. The Ethical Challenge of AI: Balancing Privacy, Regulation, and Innovation (2025).
<https://techbullion.com/the-ethical-challenge-of-ai-balancing-privacy-regulation-and-innovation/>

67. Transforming Decision Making in Medical Interventions (2025).
<https://www.queensu.ca/vpr/researchers/parvin-mousavi>

68. Trump Admin Reportedly Using AI to Label, Target Visa Holders as 'Pro-Hamas' (2025).
<https://truthout.org/articles/trump-admin-reportedly-using-ai-to-label-target-visa-holders-as-pro-hamas/>

69. UM Joins International Collective to Advance AI in Education, Research (2025).
<https://olemiss.edu/news/2025/3/news-detail-page332/index.html>